September 17, 2001

Ms. Sara Shiplet Waitt Senior Associate Commissioner Legal and Compliance Division Texas Department of Insurance P.O. Box 149104 Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2001-4134

Dear Ms. Waitt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 152065.

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for information relating to Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company ("Old American"). You inform this office that the department has released some of the requested information. You state that the remaining requested information is a private passenger automobile program underwriting and rating guidelines filing. The department believes that the request for the release of this information implicates Old American's proprietary interests. You submitted the information in question to this office. You also notified Old American of the request for this information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. We received arguments submitted on behalf of Old American. We have considered Old American's arguments and have reviewed the information you submitted.²

¹See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Gov't Code ch. 552 in certain circumstances).

²This office also received arguments submitted on behalf of Home State County Mutual Insurance Company ("Home State") and Mercury County Mutual Insurance Company ("Mercury"). However, the department does not request a decision with regard to information relating to either Home State or Mercury, nor did you submit any records relating to either of these companies in requesting this decision. Therefore, this decision does not address these companies' arguments.

You concede that the department did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information may be withheld from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) provides that "[t]he governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply . . . not later than the 10th business day after the date of receiving the written request [for information]." Section 552.301 also requires the governmental body to submit additional specified information to this office not later than the 15th business day after the date of its receipt of the request for information. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A)-(D). Section 552.302 of the Government Code provides that "[i]f a governmental body does not request an attorney general decision as provided by Section 552.301 . . . the information requested in writing is presumed to be subject to required public disclosure and must be released unless there is a compelling reason to withhold the information."

You inform this office that the department received the request for the information at issue on June 1, 2001. You requested our decision and submitted the information required under section 552.301(e) by letter dated July 13, 2001. Thus, the department did not comply with section 552.301. The submitted information is therefore presumed to be public and must be released under section 552.302, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information from public disclosure. See also Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can generally be overcome by demonstrating that the information is confidential by law or that third party interests are at stake. See Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). As Old American asserts that the information at issue constitutes a trade secret under section 552.110 of the Government Code, we will address Old American's arguments.

Section 552.110 protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision," and (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. See Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b).

The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a "trade secret" from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a "trade secret" to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to a single or ephemeral event in the conduct of the

business.... A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.... [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939) (emphasis added); see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 898 (1958). If the governmental body takes no position on the application of the "trade secrets" component of section 552.110 to the information at issue, this office will accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that component if that person establishes a prima facie case for the exception and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990).

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. See Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that the release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm); see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

Old American informs this office that the information at issue relates to the company's "21st Century" automobile insurance program. Old American contends that the 21st Century program underwriting guidelines and/or rules constitute a trade secret of the company. This office has received no arguments that rebut Old American's claim, and

Restatement of Torts, § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

³The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];

⁽²⁾ the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;

⁽³⁾ the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;

⁽⁴⁾ the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;

⁽⁵⁾ the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;

⁽⁶⁾ the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

the department does not take a position with respect to this information. Having reviewed the submitted documents and considered Old American's arguments, we conclude that Old American has made a *prima facie* case that the information for which the company claims an exception to disclosure constitutes a trade secret under section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. Therefore, the 21st Century program underwriting guidelines and/or rules must be withheld from disclosure in their entirety under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. We note, however, that Old American "does not object to the release of the 21st Century program rates per se." You do not inform this office whether these rates are contained in the submitted documents. In the event that the documents contain these rates, that information must be released. As we are able to make this determination, we need not address Old American's arguments under section 552.110(b).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

James W. Morris, III

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JWM/sdk

Ref: ID# 152065

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Matthew Wiandt
Bristol West
6150 Oak Tree Blvd., Bldg. II - 4th Floor
Independence, Ohio 44131
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bruce McCandless III Long, Burner, Parks, McClellan & DeLargy P.O. Box 2212 Austin, Texas 78768-2212 (w/o enclosures)